UNITED
NATIONS

A

General Assembly

Distr.
LIMITED

A/AC.86/INF/43
23 January 1995

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

COMMITTEE ON APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW OF
ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL JUDGEMENTS
Forty-fourth session

INFORMATION CIRCULAR

I. DATE AND PLACE OF MEETING

1. The 1st meeting of the forty-fourth session of the Committee on Applications for Review of Administrative Tribunal Judgements will take place at United Nations Headquarters on Tuesday, 21 February 1995. The exact time and place of the meeting will be announced in the Journal of the United Nations. The provisional agenda for the session is to be found in document A/AC.86/R.271.

II. MEMBERSHIP OF THE COMMITTEE

2. Under article 11 of the statute of the United Nations Administrative Tribunal (see General Assembly resolution 957 (X) of 8 November 1955), the Committee is composed of Member States, the representatives of which have served on the General Committee of the most recent regular session of the General Assembly. The present membership of the Committee therefore comprises the following 28 Member States: Armenia, Austria, Belgium, Burundi, Cambodia, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Fiji, France, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, India, Kazakhstan, Malawi, Netherlands, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Russian Federation, Senegal, Sudan, Tunisia, Ukraine, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America and Uruguay.

III. PREVIOUS MEETINGS OF THE COMMITTEE AND DECISIONS TAKEN

3. Information concerning previous meetings of the Committee and decisions of the Committee at its first to forty-third sessions is to be found in documents A/AC.86/INF/1-42.

95-01278 (E) 190195 230195

/...

4. At its forty-third session, held on 14 and 16 June 1994, the Committee considered the following applications:

(a) Application of Mr. Araim for a review of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 622 - Araim v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations;

(b) Application of Mr. Kofi for a review of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 630 - Kofi v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations;

(c) Application of Ms. Mughir for a review of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 632 - Mughir v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations;

(d) Application of Mr. Shkukani for a review of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 628 - Shkukani v. the Commissioner-General of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East.

5. After consideration of the aforementioned applications, the Committee decided, respectively, that there was not a substantial basis for the applications of Messrs Araim and Kofi, Ms. Mughir and Mr. Shkukani under article 11 of the statute of the Administrative Tribunal and therefore concluded that the International Court of Justice should not be requested to give an advisory opinion in respect of Judgements Nos. 622, 630, 632 and 628.

6. The decisions of the Committee at its forty-third session were formally announced at the meeting of the Committee held in public on 16 June 1994. They are contained in document A/AC.86/53.

IV. PURPOSE OF THE SESSION

A. Application received from Mr. Ibrahim Fu'ad Hourani

7. On 20 July 1994, the United Nations Administrative Tribunal rendered Judgement No. 654 in the case of Hourani v. the Commissioner-General of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in Near East. The official text of the judgement in English and French is to be found in document AT/DEC/654. The judgement was communicated to the parties on 12 October 1994.

8. The application from Mr. Hourani dated 4 November 1994, requesting a review of Judgement No. 654 rendered by the United Nations Administrative Tribunal in the case of Hourani v. the Commissioner-General of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East, was received by the Secretary of the Committee on 16 November 1994.

9. On 16 January 1995, after translation of the application of Mr. Hourani into the official languages of the General Assembly, it was communicated in document A/AC.86/R.265 to all members of the Committee as well as to parties to the proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal, together with a copy of

Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 654 (AT/DEC/654). It should be mentioned that the application had attached to it one annex which has not been circulated, since such circulation is not provided for in the rules of procedure of the Committee. This document may be examined in the office of the Secretary of the Committee (room S-3420I).

10. Comments of the Respondent submitted with respect to the application of Mr. Hourani in accordance with article V, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure of the Committee are contained in document A/AC.86/R.266.

B. Application received from Mr. Fat-Chun Leung-Ki

11. On 13 July 1994, the United Nations Administrative Tribunal rendered Judgement No. 639 in the case of Leung-Ki v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The official text of the judgement in English and French is to be found in document AT/DEC/639. The judgement was communicated to the parties on 29 September 1994.

12. The application from Mr. Leung-Ki dated 29 November 1994, requesting a review of Judgement No. 639 rendered by the United Nations Administrative Tribunal in the case of Leung-Ki v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations, was received by the Secretary of the Committee on the same date.

13. On 16 January 1995, after translation of the application of Mr. Leung-Ki into the official languages of the General Assembly, it was communicated in document A/AC.86/R.267 to all members of the Committee as well as to parties to the proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal, together with a copy of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 639 (AT/DEC/639). It should be mentioned that the application had attached to it four annexes which have not been circulated, since such circulation is not provided for in the rules of procedure of the Committee. Those documents may be examined in the office of the Secretary of the Committee (room S-3420I).

14. Comments of the Respondent submitted with respect to the application of Mr. Leung-Ki in accordance with article V, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure of the Committee are contained in document A/AC.86/R.268.

C. Application received from Mr. Ali Camara

15. On 14 July 1994, the United Nations Administrative Tribunal rendered Judgement No. 642 in the case of Sow, Kane, Diatta, Dienne and Camara v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The official text of the judgement in English and French is to be found in document AT/DEC/642. The judgement was communicated to the parties on 5 October 1994.

16. The application from Mr. Ali Camara dated 11 November 1994, requesting a review of Judgement No. 642 rendered by the United Nations Administrative Tribunal in the case of Sow, Kane, Diatta, Dienne and Camara v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations, was received by the Secretary of the Committee on 23 November 1994. The application of Mr. Ali Camara did not comply with the

requirements set forth in article II, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure of the Committee. Therefore, as provided for in article III, paragraph 2, and article XIII, paragraph 1 (a), of the rules of procedure of the Committee, on 30 November 1994 the application was returned to Mr. Ali Camara with a request that it be corrected and resubmitted within three weeks from the date of its return. A corrected application of Mr. Ali Camara, dated 12 December 1994, was received by the Secretary of the Committee on 22 December 1994.

17. On 16 January 1995, after translation of the application of Mr. Ali Camara into the official languages of the General Assembly, it was communicated in document A/AC.86/R.269 to all members of the Committee as well as to parties to the proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal, together with a copy of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 642 (AT/DEC/642). It should be mentioned that the application of Mr. Ali Camara had attached to it five annexes which have not been circulated, since such circulation is not provided for in the rules of the procedure of the Committee. Those documents may be examined in the office of the Secretary of the Committee (room S-3420I).

18. Comments of the Respondent submitted with respect to the application of Mr. Ali Camara in accordance with article V, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure of the Committee are contained in document A/AC.86/R.270.

D. General provisions

19. The official dossiers of the Administrative Tribunal in the cases of Messrs Hourani, Leung-Ki and Ali Camara are on file with the Secretary of the Committee in room S-3420I and may be examined on request by members of the Committee.

20. Under article 11, paragraph 2, of the statute of the Administrative Tribunal, the Committee shall decide within 30 days from receipt of an application whether or not there is a substantial basis for such application. If the Committee decides that such a basis exists, it shall request an advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice. Under article 11, paragraph 4, the Committee is authorized by the General Assembly, for the purposes of the article, to request an advisory opinion of the Court. According to the provisions of article VII of the rules of procedure of the Committee, it shall be convened for the consideration of the application no later than 25 days from the date of the receipt of the application. Under article II, paragraph 1 (c), of the same rules, the date of receipt by the Committee of an application is the date two weeks after the copies of the application are dispatched to the members of the Committee by its Secretary.

V. TERMS OF REFERENCE AND RULES OF PROCEDURE

21. The terms of reference of the Committee are contained in article 11 of the statute of the United Nations Administrative Tribunal. That article was added to the statute by the General Assembly in its resolution 957 (X) of 8 November 1955. Its text is as follows:

"Article 11

"1. If a Member State, the Secretary-General or the person in respect of whom a judgement has been rendered by the Tribunal (including anyone who has succeeded to that person's rights on his death) objects to the judgement on the ground that the Tribunal has exceeded its jurisdiction or competence or that the Tribunal has failed to exercise jurisdiction vested in it, or has erred on a question of law relating to the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations, or has committed a fundamental error in procedure which has occasioned a failure of justice, such Member State, the Secretary-General or the person concerned may, within thirty days from the date of the judgement, make a written application to the Committee established by paragraph 4 of this article asking the Committee to request an advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice on the matter.

"2. Within thirty days from the receipt of an application under paragraph 1 of this article, the Committee shall decide whether or not there is a substantial basis for the application. If the Committee decides that such a basis exists, it shall request an advisory opinion of the Court, and the Secretary-General shall arrange to transmit to the Court the views of the person referred to in paragraph 1.

"3. If no application is made under paragraph 1 of this article, or if a decision to request an advisory opinion has not been taken by the Committee, within the periods prescribed in this article, the judgement of the Tribunal shall become final. In any case in which a request has been made for an advisory opinion, the Secretary-General shall either give effect to the opinion of the Court or request the Tribunal to convene specially in order that it shall confirm its original judgement, or give a new judgement, in conformity with the opinion of the Court. If not requested to convene specially, the Tribunal shall at its next session confirm its judgement or bring it into conformity with the opinion of the Court.

"4. For the purpose of this article, a Committee is established and authorized under paragraph 2 of Article 96 of the Charter to request advisory opinions of the Court. The Committee shall be composed of the Member States the representatives of which have served on the General Committee of the most recent regular session of the General Assembly. The Committee shall meet at United Nations Headquarters and shall establish its own rules.

"5. In any case in which award of compensation has been made by the Tribunal in favour of the person concerned and the Committee has requested an advisory opinion under paragraph 2 of this article, the Secretary-General, if satisfied that such person will otherwise be handicapped in protecting his interests, shall within fifteen days of the decision to request an advisory opinion make an advance payment to him of one third of the total amount of compensation awarded by the Tribunal less such termination benefits, if any, as have already been paid. Such advance payment shall be made on condition that, within thirty days of the action of the Tribunal under paragraph 3 of this article, such person shall pay

back to the United Nations the amount, if any, by which the advance payment exceeds any sum to which he is entitled in accordance with the opinion of the Court."

22. At its 1st meeting, on 16 October 1956, the Committee adopted its rules of procedure, which were later amended on 25 October 1956, 21 January 1957, 11 December 1974, 16 February 1983 and 30 July 1991. The current text of the rules of procedure of the Committee is contained in document A/AC.86/2/Rev.4.

23. In accordance with article VIII of the rules of procedure of the Committee, all its deliberations, including the adoption of all decisions concerning applications, shall take place in private. The decisions of the Committee and the text of any questions to be addressed to the International Court of Justice, as well as the results of and the participants in any votes taken during the private deliberations, shall be formally announced at a public meeting, at which any member of the Committee may make a statement for the record. As provided in article XII of the rules of procedure of the Committee, sound recordings shall be prepared and kept of all proceedings of the Committee, in accordance with the practice of the United Nations. If the Committee requests an advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice in respect of an application, the Secretary, in accordance with article XII, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure, shall prepare and transmit to the Court, to all members of the Committee and to the parties to the proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal, a verbatim record, in English and French, of the proceedings of the Committee in respect of that application, except for those in the private deliberations provided for in article VIII, paragraph 3.

VI. INQUIRIES

24. Inquiries may be addressed to the Secretary of the Committee, room S-3420I, extension 3-5347.



UNITED
NATIONS

A

General Assembly

Distr.
LIMITED

A/AC.86/INF/44
6 June 1995

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

COMMITTEE ON APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW OF
ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL JUDGEMENTS
Forty-fifth session

INFORMATION CIRCULAR

I. DATE AND PLACE OF MEETING

1. The 1st meeting of the forty-fifth session of the Committee on Applications for Review of Administrative Tribunal Judgements will take place at United Nations Headquarters on Wednesday, 12 July 1995. The exact time and place of the meeting will be announced in the Journal of the United Nations. The provisional agenda for the session is to be found in document A/AC.86/R.278.

II. MEMBERSHIP OF THE COMMITTEE

2. Under article 11 of the statute of the United Nations Administrative Tribunal (see General Assembly resolution 957 (X) of 8 November 1955), the Committee is composed of Member States, the representatives of which have served on the General Committee of the most recent regular session of the General Assembly. The present membership of the Committee therefore comprises the following 28 Member States: Armenia, Austria, Belgium, Burundi, Cambodia, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Fiji, France, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, India, Kazakhstan, Malawi, Netherlands, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Russian Federation, Senegal, Sudan, Tunisia, Ukraine, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America and Uruguay.

III. PREVIOUS MEETINGS OF THE COMMITTEE AND DECISIONS TAKEN

3. Information concerning previous meetings of the Committee and decisions of the Committee at its first to forty-fourth sessions is to be found in documents A/AC.86/INF/1-43.

4. At its forty-fourth session, held on 21 February 1995, the Committee considered the following applications:

95-13812 (E) 170595 190595

/...

(a) Application of Mr. Hourani for a review of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 654 - Hourani v. the Commissioner-General of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East;

(b) Application of Mr. Leung-Ki for a review of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 639 - Leung-Ki v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations;

(c) Application of Mr. Ali Camara for a review of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 642 - Sow, Kane, Diatta, Dienne and Camara v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

5. After consideration of the aforementioned applications, the Committee decided, respectively, that there was not a substantial basis for the applications of Messrs Hourani, Leung-Ki and Ali Camara under article 11 of the statute of the Administrative Tribunal and therefore concluded that the International Court of Justice should not be requested to give an advisory opinion in respect of Judgements Nos. 654, 639 and 642.

6. The decisions of the Committee at its forty-fourth session were formally announced at the meeting of the Committee held in public on 21 February 1995. They are contained in document A/AC.86/54.

IV. PURPOSE OF THE SESSION

A. Application received from Mr. Petr Y. Vorobiev

7. On 4 November 1994, the United Nations Administrative Tribunal rendered Judgement No. 666 in the case of Vorobiev v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The official text of the judgement in English and French is to be found in document AT/DEC/666. The judgement was communicated to the parties on 6 February 1995.

8. The application from Mr. Vorobiev dated 2 March 1995, requesting a review of Judgement No. 666 rendered by the United Nations Administrative Tribunal in the case of Vorobiev v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations, was received by the Secretary of the Committee on 17 March 1995.

9. On 6 June 1995, after translation of the application of Mr. Vorobiev into the official languages of the General Assembly, it was communicated in document A/AC.86/R.272 to all members of the Committee as well as to parties to the proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal, together with a copy of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 666 (AT/DEC/666). It should be mentioned that the application had attached to it 18 annexes which have not been circulated, since such circulation is not provided for in the rules of procedure of the Committee. These documents may be examined in the office of the Secretary of the Committee (room S-3420I).

10. Comments of the Respondent submitted with respect to the application of Mr. Vorobiev in accordance with article V, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure of the Committee are contained in document A/AC.86/R.273.

B. Application received from Ms. Farida Ghani Burtis

11. On 4 November 1994, the United Nations Administrative Tribunal rendered Judgement No. 672 in the case of Burtis v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The official text of the judgement in English and French is to be found in document AT/DEC/672. The judgement was communicated to the parties on 7 February 1995.

12. The application from Ms. Burtis dated 6 April 1995, requesting a review of Judgement No. 672 rendered by the United Nations Administrative Tribunal in the case of Burtis v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations, was received by the Secretary of the Committee on the same date.

13. On 6 June 1995, after translation of the application of Ms. Burtis into the official languages of the General Assembly, it was communicated in document A/AC.86/R.274 to all members of the Committee as well as to parties to the proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal, together with a copy of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 672 (AT/DEC/672). It should be mentioned that the application had attached to it five annexes which have not been circulated, since such circulation is not provided for in the rules of procedure of the Committee. Those documents may be examined in the office of the Secretary of the Committee (room S-3420I).

14. Comments of the Respondent submitted with respect to the application of Ms. Burtis in accordance with article V, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure of the Committee are contained in document A/AC.86/R.275.

C. Application received from Mr. Daniel Cure

15. On 11 November 1994, the United Nations Administrative Tribunal rendered Judgement No. 687 in the case of Cure v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The official text of the judgement in English and French is to be found in document AT/DEC/687. The judgement was communicated to the parties on 9 February 1995.

16. The application from Mr. Cure dated 7 April 1995, requesting a review of Judgement No. 687 rendered by the United Nations Administrative Tribunal in the case of Cure v. the Secretary-General of the United Nations was received by the Secretary of the Committee on the same date.

17. On 6 June 1995, after translation of the application of Mr. Cure into the official languages of the General Assembly, it was communicated in document A/AC.86/R.276 to all members of the Committee as well as to parties to the proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal, together with a copy of Administrative Tribunal Judgement No. 687 (AT/DEC/687).

18. Comments of the Respondent submitted with respect to the application of Mr. Cure in accordance with article V, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure of the Committee are contained in document A/AC.86/R.277.

D. General provisions

19. The official dossiers of the Administrative Tribunal in the cases of Mr. Vorobiev, Ms. Burtis and Mr. Cure are on file with the Secretary of the Committee in room S-3420I and may be examined on request by members of the Committee.

20. Under article 11, paragraph 2, of the statute of the Administrative Tribunal, the Committee shall decide within 30 days from receipt of an application whether or not there is a substantial basis for such application. If the Committee decides that such a basis exists, it shall request an advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice. Under article 11, paragraph 4, the Committee is authorized by the General Assembly, for the purposes of the article, to request an advisory opinion of the Court. According to the provisions of article VII of the rules of procedure of the Committee, it shall be convened for the consideration of the application no later than 25 days from the date of the receipt of the application. Under article II, paragraph 1 (c) of the same rules, the date of receipt by the Committee of an application is the date two weeks after the copies of the application are dispatched to the members of the Committee by its Secretary.

V. TERMS OF REFERENCE AND RULES OF PROCEDURE

21. The terms of reference of the Committee are contained in article 11 of the statute of the United Nations Administrative Tribunal. That article was added to the statute by the General Assembly in its resolution 957 (X) of 8 November 1955. Its text is as follows:

"Article 11

> "1. If a Member State, the Secretary-General or the person in respect of whom a judgement has been rendered by the Tribunal (including anyone who has succeeded to that person's rights on his death) objects to the judgement on the ground that the Tribunal has exceeded its jurisdiction or competence or that the Tribunal has failed to exercise jurisdiction vested in it, or has erred on a question of law relating to the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations, or has committed a fundamental error in procedure which has occasioned a failure of justice, such Member State, the Secretary-General or the person concerned may, within thirty days from the date of the judgement, make a written application to the Committee established by paragraph 4 of this article asking the Committee to request an advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice on the matter.

"2. Within thirty days from the receipt of an application under paragraph 1 of this article, the Committee shall decide whether or not there is a substantial basis for the application. If the Committee decides that such a basis exists, it shall request an advisory opinion of the Court, and the Secretary-General shall arrange to transmit to the Court the views of the person referred to in paragraph 1.

"3. If no application is made under paragraph 1 of this article, or if a decision to request an advisory opinion has not been taken by the Committee, within the periods prescribed in this article, the judgement of the Tribunal shall become final. In any case in which a request has been made for an advisory opinion, the Secretary-General shall either give effect to the opinion of the Court or request the Tribunal to convene specially in order that it shall confirm its original judgement, or give a new judgement, in conformity with the opinion of the Court. If not requested to convene specially, the Tribunal shall at its next session confirm its judgement or bring it into conformity with the opinion of the Court.

"4. For the purpose of this article, a Committee is established and authorized under paragraph 2 of Article 96 of the Charter to request advisory opinions of the Court. The Committee shall be composed of the Member States the representatives of which have served on the General Committee of the most recent regular session of the General Assembly. The Committee shall meet at United Nations Headquarters and shall establish its own rules.

"5. In any case in which award of compensation has been made by the Tribunal in favour of the person concerned and the Committee has requested an advisory opinion under paragraph 2 of this article, the Secretary-General, if satisfied that such person will otherwise be handicapped in protecting his interests, shall within fifteen days of the decision to request an advisory opinion make an advance payment to him of one third of the total amount of compensation awarded by the Tribunal less such termination benefits, if any, as have already been paid. Such advance payment shall be made on condition that, within thirty days of the action of the Tribunal under paragraph 3 of this article, such person shall pay back to the United Nations the amount, if any, by which the advance payment exceeds any sum to which he is entitled in accordance with the opinion of the Court."

22. At its 1st meeting, on 16 October 1956, the Committee adopted its rules of procedure, which were later amended on 25 October 1956, 21 January 1957, 11 December 1974, 16 February 1983 and 30 July 1991. The current text of the rules of procedure of the Committee is contained in document A/AC.86/2/Rev.4.

23. In accordance with article VIII of the rules of procedure of the Committee, all its deliberations, including the adoption of all decisions concerning applications, shall take place in private. The decisions of the Committee and the text of any questions to be addressed to the International Court of Justice, as well as the results of and the participants in any votes taken during the private deliberations, shall be formally announced at a public meeting, at which

any member of the Committee may make a statement for the record. As provided in article XII of the rules of procedure of the Committee, sound recordings shall be prepared and kept of all proceedings of the Committee, in accordance with the practice of the United Nations. If the Committee requests an advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice in respect of an application, the Secretary, in accordance with article XII, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure, shall prepare and transmit to the Court, to all members of the Committee and to the parties to the proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal, a verbatim record, in English and French, of the proceedings of the Committee in respect of that application, except for those in the private deliberations provided for in article VIII, paragraph 3.

VI. INQUIRIES

24. Inquiries may be addressed to the Secretary of the Committee, room S-3420I, extension 3-5347.